

**TAHOE TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT**  
**128 MARKET STREET, SUITE 3-F**  
**STATELINE, NEVADA**

**NOTICE TO PROPOSERS**

**RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**  
**ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY PROGRAM MANAGEMENT**

December 22, 2025

#	Date/Time Question Received	Vendor Name	Question	Response
1	December 8, 2025 4:50 PM	Accenture	The RFP specifies that engineering licensure is required in California and Nevada. If our staff holds a California license and has applied for comity in Oregon—given the reciprocity between California and Oregon—will our proposal still be considered compliant? We understand that the comity review process typically takes approximately five days.	The Consultant shall provide a valid Nevada PE license at time of award.

2	December 8, 2025 4:50 PM	Accenture	<p>The RFP states: "Technical, legal, and financial services for review, evaluation, and recommendation." Could you confirm whether TTD expects our proposed team to include licensed professionals such as attorneys or CPAs? Or would it be acceptable for these functions to be performed by our in-house staff who have relevant experience with the scope of services but are not licensed as attorneys or CPAs?</p>	<p>TTD expects the consultant to provide the experience and professional expertise in providing the services requested, which may include technical, legal, and financial. In-house staff is acceptable for the purposes of this submittal. Should an issue arise where an attorney or CPA may be needed, TTD/consultant will discuss potential amendment of the agreement.</p>
---	--------------------------	-----------	---	---

3	December 8, 2025 4:50 PM	Accenture	<p>The Selection Criteria on p. 7 would appear to require information that isn't requested in section "PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS, A. Form of Proposals". For example, "E. Selection Criteria" 2nd group worth 30 points, includes "Past Performance: Project quality, adherence to schedule, budget control, innovation, and efficiency," However the proposal requirements on page 4 and page 5 do not request the related project experience. Where should project experience supporting the "E. Selection Criteria" be placed under PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS, for example, include project experience examples that demonstrate our Past Performance in line item 2. Firm Profile, or under a new line item 2.5 "Past Performance"</p>	<p>Please include the selection criteria which includes "Past Performance: Project quality, adherence to schedule, budget control, innovation, and efficiency, within the "Firm Profile section of the submittal.</p>
4	December 9, 2025 9:38 AM	Rock Solid Solutions	<p>Wanted to ask if the intent of this RFP is to include the ICE role since it is not identified specifically. We are not sure if the ICE will be procured separately.</p>	<p>The ICE (Independent Cost Estimator) will be procured separately and at a later date. We will inform interested firms when that RFP is posted.</p>

5	December 12, 2025 8:39 PM	Rock Solid Solutions	Can you also please advise if we submit and possibly are successful on this RFP for CMAR guideline development, can we also submit for the future ICE RFP?	The intent of this procurement is to develop internal guidelines and processes for alternative delivery that meet State and Federal requirements. Development of these guidelines should include determinations for conflicts of interest between firms tasked with different roles for an alternative delivery project. Additionally, TTD anticipates submitted proposals may include a task order for program management assistance, If this is the case, TTD expects there could be a conflict between the PM and and ICE task.
---	---------------------------	----------------------	--	--