TAHOE TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT
128 MARKET STREET, SUITE 3-F
STATELINE, NEVADA

NOTICE TO PROPOSERS

ADDENDUM #1
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES
Tahoe Transportation District Operations Facility
Tenant Improvements

December 16, 2025

Addendum #1
Please make the following additions/changes to the above referenced RFP:

Page Number	Article/Section	Existing	Revised
E-1 – E-18	Attachment E (HazMat Report for 1679 Shop St.)	N/A	Added Supporting Document
F-1	Attachment F (Site Survey)	N/A	Added Supporting Document

Approved:

Jim Marino

Digitally signed by Jim Marino
DN: C=US, E=jmarino@tahoetransportation.org, O=Tahoe Transportation District, OU="Capital Program ", CN=Jim Marino
Date: 2025.12.15 15:19:07-08'00'

Jim Marino
Executive Director
Tahoe Transportation District

ATTACHMENT "E"

ENVIRONMENTAL
TESTING & CONSULTING INC
748 S Meadows Pkwy, Suite A9, PMB #516 • Reno, NV 89521

May 12, 2022
ETC Project No. 05-22-779

City of South Lake Tahoe
Attn: Travis Shindelbower, Facilities Manager
Phone No: 530-318-9977
tshindelbower@cityofslt.us

Re: ASBESTOS ABATEMENT CLEARANCE
1679 Shop St, South Lake Tahoe, CA – Phase 2

Ladies and Gentlemen:

On May 12, 2022, a representative from Environmental Testing & Consulting (ETC) conducted a detailed clearance inspection of an asbestos abatement project performed at the above referenced site. The project involved the removal of impacted asbestos containing building materials throughout the areas to be renovated (mechanics E bay and loft) in the above-mentioned facility/residence.

The visual inspection was approved as none of the asbestos containing material proposed for removal was discerned in the work areas. Subsequently, samples were collected in the work areas. The attached results indicate that the average of the tests were below 0.01 fibers per cubic centimeter of air when analyzed by NIOSH Method 7400; therefore, the clearance test passed. A copy of the Phase Contrast Microscopy Laboratory Analytical Report is attached for your use.

This information was reported to the abatement company. Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this report.

Submitted by,



Jack Goshow, Senior Industrial Hygienist, CMC™
Council Certified Microbial Consultant™
Board-awarded by the American Indoor Air Quality Council™
NV Asbestos Consultant #IJPM-865
CA Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC) #13-5052
IICRC Water Restoration Technician

Office (775) 853-7878 • Fax (775) 853-3554 • Cell (775) 691-5506 • E-Mail: razorice19@gmail.com

ATTACHMENT "E"

ASBESTOS TEM LABORATORIES, INC.

NIOSH 7400 Method
Phase Contrast Microscopy
Analytical Report

Report No.: 147763

1350 Freeport Blvd.
Sparks, NV 89431
(775) 3598-3377
FAX (775) 359-2798

Main Office Located at:
3431 Ettie Street Oakland, CA 94608
Ph. (510) 704-8930 Fax (510) 704-8929

ATTACHMENT "E"

ASBESTOS TEM LABORATORIES, INC

May/12/2022

Jack Goshow
Environmental Testing & Consulting
14640 Toll Rd
Reno, NV 89521

RE: LABORATORY REPORT # 147763
Phase contrast microscopy analytical results for 5 air sample(s).
Job Site: 1679 Shop
Job No.:

Enclosed please find the analytical results for one or more air samples submitted for phase contrast microscopy (PCM) analysis. All analysts participate in the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) Asbestos Analyst Registry Registry proficiancy testing program.

Prior to analysis, air sample cassettes are logged-in and all data pertinent to the sample is recorded into a computer based laboratory information management system. The samples are checked for damage or disruption of any chain-of-custody seals. A unique laboratory ID number is assigned to each sample. A hard copy log-in sheet containing all pertinent information concerning the sample is generated. This and all other relevant paper work are kept with the sample throughout the analytical procedures to assure proper sample tracking.

After sample login is complete, the air samples are analyzed as follows: Air filters are individually removed from the cassette holders, a quarter section is separated and placed onto a glass microscope slide. The filter section is collapsed using a "QuikFix" acetone vaporizer. A drop of Triacetin is added and a coverslip is emplaced over the filter. The slide is then placed under an Olympus CH-2 or Meiji ML-POL Phase Contrast Microscope. Fibers are counted until either 100 fibers are counted in a minimum of 20 fields or 100 fields total are observed. Analytical results are calculated according to NIOSH 7400 protocols. Data is then compiled into a standard report format and subjected to a quality assurance review before the information is released to the client.

Please note all samples will be held for 3 months from the date of receipt unless otherwise requested by client.

Sincerely Yours,

Laboratory Analyst
ASBESTOS TEM LABORATORIES, INC.

ATTACHMENT "E"

PHASE CONTRAST MICROSCOPY ANALYTICAL REPORT

NIOSH 7400 Method

Contact: Jack Goshow

Address: Environmental Testing & Consulting
14640 Toll Rd
Reno, NV 89521

Samples Submitted: 5

Samples Processed: 5

Job Site / No. 1679 Shop

Report No.: **147763**
Date Submitted: May-12-22
Date Reported: May-12-22

SAMPLE ID	FIBERS per CC	95% UCL	FIBERS per FIELDS	FIBERS per FILTER	LOCATION / DESCRIPTION
1 Lab ID # 881-13222-001	< 0.0022	< 0.0022	< 5.5/100	< 490	East Bay & Loft Volume(L) 1200 Pump Time(Min) 120 Flow Rate(LPM) 10
2 Lab ID # 881-13222-002	< 0.0022	< 0.0022	< 5.5/100	< 490	East Bay & Loft Volume(L) 1200 Pump Time(Min) 120 Flow Rate(LPM) 10
3 Lab ID # 881-13222-003	< 0.0022	< 0.0022	< 5.5/100	< 490	East Bay & Loft Volume(L) 1200 Pump Time(Min) 120 Flow Rate(LPM) 10
4 Lab ID # 881-13222-004	< 0.0022	< 0.0022	< 5.5/100	< 490	East Bay & Loft Volume(L) 1200 Pump Time(Min) 120 Flow Rate(LPM) 10
5 Lab ID # 881-13222-005	< 0.0022	< 0.0022	< 5.5/100	< 490	East Bay & Loft Volume(L) 1200 Pump Time(Min) 120 Flow Rate(LPM) 10
Lab ID #					Volume(L) Pump Time(Min) Flow Rate(LPM)
Lab ID #					Volume(L) Pump Time(Min) Flow Rate(LPM)
Lab ID #					Volume(L) Pump Time(Min) Flow Rate(LPM)
Lab ID #					Volume(L) Pump Time(Min) Flow Rate(LPM)
Lab ID #					Volume(L) Pump Time(Min) Flow Rate(LPM)

Detection Limit = 7 Fibers/MM2

Laboratory Analyst _____
Greg Hanes

ASAP

ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING & CONSULTING

14640 Toll Rd * Reno, NV 89521 * Ph: (775) 691-5506 * Fax: (775) 853-3554

***** AIR SAMPLE SUBMISSION FORM / CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY REPORT *****

Job Site: 1679 Shop

Sample Number	Sample Type	Time ON	Time OFF	Total Time(m)	Flow Rate (lpm) ON	OFF	Average	Volume (l)	Location / Description
1	clearance	4:15	4:15	120	10.0	10.0	10.0	1200	East Bay & Loft
2									
3									
4									
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Special instructions:

Relinquished by	Date / Time	Received by	Date / Time
Name/Company Jack Goshow, Bob Nemitz / ETC	5-12-22	Name/Company LD/ATEM Rebecca Byrne, Greg Hanes / ATEM	05/12/22
Signature	4:15	Signature	4:15pm
Name/Company		Name/Company	
Signature		Signature	

ATTACHMENT "E"

ATTACHMENT "E"

ENVIRONMENTAL
TESTING & CONSULTING INC
748 S Meadows Pkwy, Suite A9, PMB #516 • Reno, NV 89521

May 12, 2022
ETC Project No. 05-22-780

City of South Lake Tahoe
Attn: Travis Shindelbower, Facilities Manager
Phone No: 530-318-9977
tshindelbower@cityofslt.us

Re: FUNGAL REMEDIATION VALIDATION INSPECTION AND TESTING
1679 Shop St, South Lake Tahoe, CA – Phase 2

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In May 2022, a representative from Environmental Testing & Consulting (ETC) conducted validation inspection and testing services after remediation work was performed at this site. The project involved resolving the uncharacteristic fungal (mold) presence at the ceiling in the mechanics E bay/loft of the above-mentioned facility.

SUMMARY

Based on our visual inspection and sampling results, the mold remediation at the ceiling in the mechanics E bay/loft of the above-mentioned facility was successful, and no further abatement work is necessary in this area.

OBJECTIVE

The purpose for the remediation work was to eradicate atypical microbial amplification and to disinfect remaining building materials in the targeted areas. The work was performed following guidelines and protocol suggested by the leading occupational hygiene and public health organizations such as the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (NYC DOHMH), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA), Institute of Inspection, Cleaning and Restoration Certification (IICRC) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

The intention of the environmental inspection services is to assess the success of the remediation effort in meeting the above stated goal using state of the art industry standard inspection, sampling, and analytical procedures. After the site inspection is conducted and the following conditions:

1) Removal of the visible degree of contaminant.
2) Normal moisture content of the remaining building materials.
3) Ordinary relative humidity, consistent with the ambient environment.

Office (775) 853-7878 • Fax (775) 853-3554 • Cell (775) 691-5506 • E-Mail: razorice19@gmail.com

are achieved, air and/or surface samples are collected in the work area, if necessary, to determine fungal presence, spore concentrations and genera to confirm the effectiveness of the abatement process. Additionally, samples may be taken in the ambient outdoors environment and/or negative control area to use as a baseline. The sampling results would be considered unsatisfactory should the data collected during validation inspection indicate unusual fungal amplification or significant concentrations of targeted fungal genera. In that occurrence, the remediation would be deemed unsuccessful and supplementary removal, cleaning and/or disinfection work is necessary before another visual site inspection and additional sampling is performed.

METHODS

1) Visual Inspection

A comprehensive and thorough visual examination is performed in the abated areas. The investigator gathers observational information (i.e., condition of the physical structure, potential sources of biological agents, possible mechanisms for bioaerosol generation and transport, evidence of current water damage or excess moisture, etc.) and then, if necessary, environmental data.

2) Air Sampling

Air sampling is used to determine the bioaerosol type and concentration in the airstream of the sampled location. Typically, air samples are collected in the abated area of the building to determine the effectiveness of the remediation effort. Additionally, samples are taken in the ambient outdoors environment or negative control area to use as a baseline.

a) Nonculturable Bioaerosols

Air is drawn toward a vacuum pump through a spore trap cassette. Typically, samples are collected for 5 minutes from the indoor and ambient outdoor environment and using a vacuum pump with a flow rate calibrated to a primary standard of approximately 15 liters per minute to a obtain volume of 75 liters. Airborne particles (i.e., bioaerosols) are separated from the airstream through inertial impaction onto an adhesive-coated microscope slide. The air samples are collected in a sterile manner, sealed, labeled, and submitted to a microbiological laboratory for microbial identification. The laboratory uses direct microscopic examination of the cassettes to identify the type and concentration of nonculturable bioaerosols in the air. The results include any airborne fungi, as well as any other airborne particulates (e.g., pollen, fibers, skin cell fragments or insect parts) that will be collected during the sampling period.

3) Surface Sampling

Surface sampling can be used to confirm the nature of suspected microbial growth on environmental surfaces, measure the relative degree of biological contamination and identify the types of microorganisms and other biological agents present. Surface

sampling is preferred over bulk sampling when a less destructive method of sample collection is desired. It may be possible to collect samples from the surfaces of valuable furnishings or materials of sentimental value without damaging the original items.

a) Swab Samples
Swab samples are collected from a defined area of visibly affected surfaces using a sterile cotton swab wetted with sterile saline. The swab is then analyzed using the MycoMeter® test, which is a patented method developed specifically to detect and quantify molds on the interior surfaces of buildings. The MycoMeter® test is a quantitative method and provides results that can be divided into three categories based on the biomass density on the surface. The MycoMeter® value is based on the fluorometric detection of an enzyme present in both mycelium and spores of filamentous fungi.

4) Moisture Detection
The moisture content of building materials and % relative humidity measurements are collected using the Protimeter® Surveymaster SM Moisture Meter and Fluke 971 Temperature Humidity Meter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

May 12, 2022 – Remediation Validation Inspection and Testing

A representative from ETC conducted a detailed visual inspection of the work area. The observations listed below were noted.

- The impacted areas had been isolated from the remainder of the residence with polyethylene sheeting and duct tape.
- HEPA air scrubbers were located and operating inside the contained work areas.
- The following building materials had been removed and discarded:
  - Impacted building materials (ceiling, etc.) throughout the affected areas.
  - All impacted spatial cavities appear to have been exposed.
- All insulation, dust and debris had been cleaned from the exposed spatial cavities throughout the impacted area.
- The relative humidity throughout the impacted area (32% & 49°F) was ordinary.
- The moisture content (<6%WME) of the exposed structural building materials throughout the work area was typical.
- There was an absence of any noticeable musty odor throughout the work area.
- All the remaining exposed structural building materials (framing, gypsum board, etc.) throughout the work area appear to have been sanded, cleaned, disinfected and HEPA vacuumed, and no evident atypical fungal amplification was discerned on said materials. Surfaces samples indicated no mold growth present.
- All surfaces throughout the work area appear to have been damp wiped.

The remediation work was approved, and samples were collected at the site. The following tables summarize the sample data. The microbiology laboratory analytical results are attached to this report.

Swab Sample Results

Swab samples were collected from the exposed building materials where fungal growth had been cleaned.

Sample Date	Sample No.	Location	MycoMeter® Value (MV)	MycoMeter® Grade
5-12-22	1	SE Mechanics E Bay/Loft – Structural Framing at Ceiling	7	A
5-12-22	2	SW Mechanics E Bay/Loft – Structural Framing at Ceiling	10	A
5-12-22	3	NW Mechanics E Bay/Loft – Structural Framing at Ceiling	6	A
5-12-22	4	Center Mechanics E Bay/Loft – Structural Framing at Ceiling	2	A
5-12-22	5	NE Mechanics E Bay/Loft – Structural Framing at Ceiling	-4	A

The MycoMeter® test results have been divided into three categories. These categories were empirically derived from investigations in several buildings.

Category	MycoMeter® Value (MV)	Interpretation
A	MV $\leq$ 25	The level of mold is not above the normal background level.
B	25 < MV $\leq$ 450	The level of mold is above the normal background level. This is typically due to high concentrations of spores in dust deposits but may in some cases indicate the presence of old mold damage (mold growth).
C	MV > 450	The level of mold is high above the normal background level due to growth of molds.

No uncharacteristic mold growth was detected.

Non-Culturable Bioaerosol Samples

Sample Date	Sample No.	Location	Spore Type & Concentration (Spores/M^3)
5-12-22	1	Exterior (Baseline)	Basidiospores: 800 Cladosporium: 480 Ascospores: 400 Aureobasidium: 27 **Total Spore Concentration: 1,707**
5-12-22	2	Work Area – Mechanics E Bay/Loft (S)	Basidiospores: 13 Oidium: 13 **Total Spore Concentration: 26**
5-12-22	3	Work Area – Mechanics E Bay/Loft (Center)	Ascospores: 13 Smuts/Myxomycetes: 13 **Total Spore Concentration: 26**
5-12-22	4	Work Area – Mechanics E Bay/Loft (N)	None Detected **Total Spore Concentration: <13**

Indoor Bioaerosol Sample Interpretation: At the present time, there are no regulations or established acceptable exposure limits to indicate safe or normal fungal spore levels indoors. Thus, when interpreting data, it is often necessary to use a baseline or comparison standard from the ambient outdoor environment or from the same environment under normal or anticipated low exposure conditions. The sample results are evaluated based on the latest criteria published by leading occupational hygiene and public health organizations. Typically, if no uncharacteristic fungal amplification is occurring indoors, airborne fungal spore levels will average anywhere from 10 to sometimes greater than 100% of the ambient outdoors level with the same representative distribution of genera. Indoor concentrations exceeding the ambient outdoors concentration can still be regarded as reasonable if the genera distribution is consistent. For example, circumstances that may contribute to increased airborne fungal spore levels indoors, without the presence of atypical fungal proliferation, include housekeeping practices, traffic patterns, activity levels, occupancy, infiltration of ambient air, environmental (climate, weather, seasonal) variations, HVAC system filtration, etc. Also, when making comparisons, it is prudent to consider that the samples collected are single 'grab' samples and characterize genera and relative quantities of fungi present at a certain time and place and that the number and types of spores accounted for may change significantly over time.

The air sample results throughout the work area did not have significant total fungal spore concentrations or remarkable genera compared to the baseline sample collected in the ambient environment outdoors and are consistent with other air samples collected in similar situations under comparable circumstances

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the visual site inspections, moisture measurements and sampling results verify that the remediation project has been successful in removing the targeted fungal (mold) contaminants and resolving the atypical mold amplification at the ceiling in the mechanics E bay/loft of the above-mentioned facility; therefore, we consider the mold remediation in this area to be complete and consistent with the current consensus guidelines.

CLOSURE

Our services and this report have been performed using a degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised under similar circumstances by industrial hygienists practicing on similar projects, in a similar time frame, and in this or similar localities. This inspection and testing described in this report relate specifically to the circumstances present at the locations sampled on the date and time the sampling was conducted. The conclusions are strictly professional opinion and expressly do not constitute a certification, warranty, or guarantee of any type. Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this report.

Submitted by,



Jack Goshow, Senior Industrial Hygienist, CMC™
Council Certified Microbial Consultant™
Board-awarded by the American Indoor Air Quality Council™
NV Asbestos Consultant #IJPM-865
CA Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC) #13-5052
IICRC Water Restoration Technician

ATTACHMENT "E"

Natural Link **MOLD LAB**
4900 Mill Street
Suite 3
Reno, NV 89502

Analytical Laboratory Report

Bioaerosol, non-culturable	Fungal Microscopic Exam	**55498-R01**

FINAL REPORT

Project/PO: **1679 Shop St PH2**

Control ID # **55498** Received: **05-17-2022**

May 18, 2022

Sean P. Abbott, Ph.D.
Analytical Director, Natural Link MOLD LAB, Inc.
AIHA (EMPAT) Lab ID 162969

Report submitted to:

Jack Goshow
Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.
14640 Toll Road
Reno, Nevada 89521

Ph. (775) 691-5506

Natural Link **MOLD LAB**

Analytical Laboratory Report

Fungal Microscopic Exam

Bioaerosol, non-culturable

Account Name:	Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.	Control ID #:	55498
Project PO:	1679 Shop St PH2	Date Received:	05-17-2022
Submitter:	Jack Goshow	Date Reported:	05-18-2022

Sample Identification: 1, Ext (base); Allergenco-D Spore-trap; 75L; 05-12-2022 [S213372AA153634]

Fungi Identified	Sample Count (spores/sample)	Calculated Count (spores/m^3)
Basidiospores	60	800
Cladosporium	36	480
Ascospores	30	400
Aureobasidium	2	27
TOTAL	**128**	**1 707**

Other Airborne Particles	Detected /None Detected	Particle Density (1-5)
Hyphal fragments	Detected	
Pollen	Detected	
Insect/arthropod parts	None Detected	
Fiberglass particles	None Detected	
Total biological particles		3
Total non-biological particles		3

Summary of Findings

- Dominant fungal spores detected on the sample: Basidiospores.
- Sensitivity: 13 spores/cubic meter.
- See Summary Table (55498-R01A).

Report #:55498-R01 Analysis Date: 05-18-2022

Laboratory Results authorized by Sean P. Abbott, Ph.D., Analytical Director

Natural Link **MOLD LAB**

Analytical Laboratory Report

Fungal Microscopic Exam

Bioaerosol, non-culturable

Account Name:	Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.	Control ID #:	55498
Project PO:	1679 Shop St PH2	Date Received:	05-17-2022
Submitter:	Jack Goshow	Date Reported:	05-18-2022

Sample Identification: 2, WA1; Allergenco-D Spore-trap; 75L; 05-12-2022 [S213373AA153635]

Fungi Identified	Sample Count (spores/sample)	Calculated Count (spores/m^3)
Basidiospores	1	13
Oidium	1	13
TOTAL	**2**	**26**

Other Airborne Particles	Detected /None Detected	Particle Density (1-5)
Hyphal fragments	None Detected	
Pollen	None Detected	
Insect/arthropod parts	None Detected	
Fiberglass particles	None Detected	
Total biological particles		1
Total non-biological particles		2

Summary of Findings

- Low levels of fungal spores detected on sample.
- Sensitivity: 13 spores/cubic meter.
- See Summary Table (55498-R01A).

Report #:55498-R01 Analysis Date: 05-18-2022

Laboratory Results authorized by Sean P. Abbott, Ph.D., Analytical Director

Natural Link **MOLD LAB**

Analytical Laboratory Report

Fungal Microscopic Exam

Bioaerosol, non-culturable

Account Name:	Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.	Control ID #:	55498
Project PO:	1679 Shop St PH2	Date Received:	05-17-2022
Submitter:	Jack Goshow	Date Reported:	05-18-2022

Sample Identification: 3, WA2; Allergenco-D Spore-trap; 75L; 05-12-2022 [S213374AA153636]

Fungi Identified	Sample Count (spores/sample)	Calculated Count (spores/m^3)
Ascospores	1	13
Smuts/Myxomycetes	1	13
TOTAL	**2**	**26**

Other Airborne Particles	Detected /None Detected	Particle Density (1-5)
Hyphal fragments	None Detected	
Pollen	None Detected	
Insect/arthropod parts	None Detected	
Fiberglass particles	None Detected	
Total biological particles		2
Total non-biological particles		2

Summary of Findings

- Low levels of fungal spores detected on sample.
- Sensitivity: 13 spores/cubic meter.
- See Summary Table (55498-R01A).

Report #:55498-R01 Analysis Date: 05-18-2022

Laboratory Results authorized by Sean P. Abbott, Ph.D., Analytical Director

Natural Link **MOLD LAB**

Analytical Laboratory Report

Fungal Microscopic Exam

Bioaerosol, non-culturable

Account Name:	Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.	Control ID #:	55498
Project PO:	1679 Shop St PH2	Date Received:	05-17-2022
Submitter:	Jack Goshow	Date Reported:	05-18-2022

Sample Identification: 4, WA3; Allergenco-D Spore-trap; 75L; 05-12-2022 [S213375AA153637]

Fungi Identified	Sample Count (spores/sample)	Calculated Count (spores/m^3)
None Detected		
TOTAL		**<13**

Other Airborne Particles	Detected /None Detected	Particle Density (1-5)
Hyphal fragments	None Detected	
Pollen	None Detected	
Insect/arthropod parts	None Detected	
Fiberglass particles	None Detected	
Total biological particles		1
Total non-biological particles		2

Summary of Findings

- No fungal spores detected on sample.
- Sensitivity: 13 spores/cubic meter.
- See Summary Table (55498-R01A).

Report #:55498-R01 Analysis Date: 05-18-2022

Laboratory Results authorized by Sean P. Abbott, Ph.D., Analytical Director

Natural Link **MOLD LAB**

Summary Table

Fungal Microscopic Examination
Bioaerosol, non-culturable

Account Name Environmental Testing & Consulting, Inc.
Project/P.O.: 1679 Shop St PH2

Date Reported 05-18-2022 **Control #** 55498

Sample ID	1, Ext (base)		2, WA1		3, WA2		4, WA3	
Fungi ID	Spores / cubic meter	% of total	Spores / cubic meter	% of total	Spores / cubic meter	% of total	Spores / cubic meter	% of total
Ascospores	400	23 %			13	50 %		
Aureobasidium	27	2 %						
Basidiospores	800	47 %	13	50 %				
Cladosporium	480	28 %						
Oidium			13	50 %				
Smuts/Myxomycetes					13	50 %		
	1 707		**26**		**26**		**< 13**	

TA39479

ATTACHMENT "E"

Chain-of-Custody Form

Natural Link MOLD LAB

Account name: Environmental Testing & Consulting

Sampling date: 5-12-22

Project / P.O.: 1679 Shop St PH 2

Submitter: Jack Goshow

Phone: (775) 691-5506

(866) 252-6653
(866) 252-MOLD
Phone (775) 356-6653
Fax (775) 356-6639
info@naturallinkmoldlab.com

Sample identification, description, and/or location	Sample volume	Analysis * FME	NFME	FC	BC	EC	Alternative / additional analysis requested:	RUSH 24hr	48hr
1 EXT (Base)	75c								
2 WA 1	↓								
3 ~~Base~~ WA 2	↓								
4 WA 3	↓								

(*) FME, Fungal Microscopic Examination -- NFME, Non-Fungal Microscopic Exam -- FC, Fungal Culture -- BC, Bacterial Culture -- EC, E.coli (coliforms) ID

Submitter's Signature: Jack Goshow	Date: 5/12/22	Receiver's Signature: [signature]	Date: 5/13/22
	Time: : am pm		Time: 9:20 am pm
Submitter's Signature:	Date: / /	Receiver's Signature:	Date: / /
	Time: : am pm		Time: : am pm

Lab use: Control #: 55498

Page ___ of ___

ATTACHMENT "E"

ATTACHMENT "F"





LEGEND

A/C	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE
DI	DRAIN INLET
EMH	ELECTRIC MANHOLE
INT	INTERCEPTOR MANHOLE
L	LODGEPOLE PINE
L/S	LANDSCAPE/NATURAL GROUND
P	PINE TREE
PPD	POWER POLE WITH DROP
S	SPRUCE TREE
SDMH	STORM DRAIN MANHOLE
SSCO	SANITARY SEWER CLEANOUT
SSMH	SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE
VLV	VALVE
x77.7	SPOT ELEVATION
	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	CONTROL POINT

OWNER & MAILING ADDRESS

CITY OF SOUTH LAKE TAHOE
1052 TATA LN.
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA 96150

LAND AREA

A.P.N. 032-312-01 (LOT 7)
79,515 SQUARE FEET

A.P.N. 032-312-02 (LOT 8)
40,864 SQUARE FEET

A.P.N. 032-312-09 (POR. LOT 9)
20,000 SQUARE FEET

A.P.N. 032-312-08 (POR. LOT 9)
20,000 SQUARE FEET

A.P.N. 032-312-14 (POR. LOT 10)
20,000 SQUARE FEET

TURNER & ASSOCIATES, INC.
LAND SURVEYING
(775) 588-5658
FAX (775) 588-9296
308 DORLA COURT, SUITE 203 - ROUND HILL, NEVADA 89448
P.O. BOX 5067 - STATELINE, NEVADA 89449

NOTES

---THE PROPERTY LINE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS FROM RECORD DATA AND DOES NOT REPRESENT A BOUNDARY SURVEY.
---THIS SURVEY HAS BEEN PREPARED WITHOUT USE OF A TITLE REPORT UNLESS REFERENCED HEREON,
TURNER AND ASSOCIATES INC. ASSUMES NO RESPOSIBILITY FOR ANY EASEMENTS WHICH MAY AFFECT THIS PROPERTY
---PROPERTY OWNER AND/OR DESIGNER MUST VERIFY BUILDING SETBACKS AND ANY OTHER BUILDING RESTRICTIONS BEFORE ANY DESIGN OR CONSTRUCTION.
---ONLY VISIBLE UTILITIES AND FEATURES HAVE BEEN LOCATED.
---THIS DRAWING OR MAP IS THE PROPERTY OF TURNER & ASSOCIATES INC., AND MAY NOT BE USED OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF TURNER & ASSOCIATES INC.

BENCH MARK
NUMBER TK668
ELEVATION 6292.04'
DATUM NAVD88
DESCRIPTION SSMH @ INTERSECTION OF SHOP ST. & "D" ST.

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY
LOTS 7, 8, 9 & POR. 10, TAHOE VALLEY CENTER NO. 1
APN's 032-312-01, -02, -09, -08, -14 1700 "D" ST., 1691 & 1679 & 1669 & 1663 SHOP ST.
C.S.L.T., EL DORADO CO., CA

SCALE HORIZ. 1"=20' VERT. 2' C.I.

FIELD	DRAWN	FILE NAME	CHECKED	JOB NO.
SW - JR	SW	14218.DWG	JWT	14218

DATE NOV 2014
SHEET 3 OF 3

REVISION NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CHKD